# PENT COOPERATION TREA

#### From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

#### **PCT**

#### **NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION**

(PCT Rule 61.2)

Commissioner
US Department of Commerce
United States Patent and Trademark
Office, PCT
2011 South Clark Place Room
CP2/5C24
Arlington, VA 22202

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

O2 November 2000 (02.11.00)

ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE
in its capacity as elected Office

International application No. PCT/US00/02619

International filing date (day/month/year) 02 February 2000 (02.02.00)

Priority date (day/month/year) 26 February 1999 (26.02.99)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

197688

**Applicant** 

ADAMCZYK, Maria et al

1.	The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:
	X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on:
, .	19 September 2000 (19.09.00)
	in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:
2.	The election X was
	was not
	made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).
İ	
ŀ	

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Manu Berrod

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

#### FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece		Republic of Macedonia	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	zw	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's	NZ	New Zealand	2.11	Zimozowe
CM	Cameroon		Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

**PCT** 

REC'D 2 8 MAY 2001
WIPO PCT

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's	01.200	ent's file reference	T		
36968/19	_		FOR FURTHER ACTION		rication of Transmittal of International ry Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
Internationa	al appl	ication No.	International filing date (day/mo	nth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US0	00/02	619	02/02/2000		26/02/1999
H04M3/5		ent Classification (IPC) or na	ational classification and IPC		
Applicant BELLSO	UTH	INTELLECTUAL PRO	PERTY CORPORATION e	t al.	
		ational preliminary exam smitted to the applicant a		ed by this In	ternational Preliminary Examining Authority
2. This F	REPC	RT consists of a total of	6 sheets, including this cover	sheet.	
b (s	een a see R	mended and are the bas	sis for this report and/or sheets 07 of the Administrative Instru	containing	ion, claims and/or drawings which have rectifications made before this Authority the PCT).
3. This r	eport ⊠	contains indications rela	ating to the following items:		
		Priority			
111		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	pinion with regard to novelty, i	nventive ste	p and industrial applicability
IV		Lack of unity of invention	·	•	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
V	⊠		nder Article 35(2) with regard tons suporting such statement	o novelty, in	ventive step or industrial applicability;
VI		Certain documents cite	ed		<del>.</del>
VII		Certain defects in the in	··		
VIII	×	Certain observations of	n the international application		
Date of sub	missic	n of the demand	Date	of completion of	of this report
19/09/20	00		23.05	.2001	
	exami	g address of the internationa ning authority: pean Patent Office	Autho	rized officer	Last MONTES PARILY IN THE PERILAMENT OF THE PERI
<i>o</i> )))	D-80	298 Munich	Mos	er, J	
		+49 89 2399 - 0  Tx: 523656 +49 89 2399 - 4465	· ·	hone No. ±49:	90 2200 7529

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US00/02619

l. Bas	is of	i the	repor	t
--------	-------	-------	-------	---

1.	the and	receiving Office in re	ents of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to esponse to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):
	1-2	4 - ;	as originally filed
	Cla	ims, No.:	
	1-2	8 .	as originally filed
	Dra	wings, sheets:	
	1/4-	·4/4	as originally filed
2.		•	uage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the iternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	The	se elements were a	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:
		the language of a tr	ranslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
		the language of pub	plication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of a tr 55.2 and/or 55.3).	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule
3.			eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the inte	ernational application in written form.
		filed together with the	ne international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subseque	ently to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subseque	ently to this Authority in computer readable form.
			the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in plication as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that listing has been fun	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence nished.
4.	The	amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description,	pages:
		the claims,	Nos.:

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/US00/02619

		the drawings,	sheets:
5.			established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been rond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):
		(Any replacement sh report.)	eet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this
6.	Add	litional observations, i	f necessary:

- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes:

Claims 1-18, 20-28

No:

citations and explanations supporting such statement

Claims 19

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-28

Yes:

Claims 1-28

No:

Claims

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

Industrial applicability (IA)

#### VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: see separate sheet

#### VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made: see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: US-A-5 838 768 (SCHMERSEL ROBERT JOHANNES BERN ET AL) 17 November 1998 (1998-11-17)

The present application contains four independent method claims having overlapping scope (see section VIII). Independent claim 19 appears to be the most general of those claims.

The solution proposed in claim 19 of the present application cannot be considered as novel (Article 33(2) PCT) for the following reasons.

Messaging systems wherein a subscriber is connected automatically to a messaging platform are well known in the field of telecommunications: For example, the internet platform "Yahoo" offers an electronic mail system wherein the subscriber can configure his personal computer in order to be connected directly to his mailbox. When the subscriber is asked for his personal identification number, a wrong number (corresponding to the backout signal of claim 19) results in the subscriber to be prompted for a user name and the corresponding personal identification. Thus, any mailbox in the system can be selected by the subscriber.

Therefore, all essential features of claim 19 are known from this system.

Even if claim 19 would be restricted to telephone messaging systems only, claim 19 does not seem to contain an inventive step over document D1. This document discloses a voice messaging system which might be accessed by a pilot number (see column 22, lines 41 and 42). The subscriber is then connected to a mailbox which is not necessarily the one he wants to access (see column 17, lines 38 to 43). Upon indicating his identity to the system he has access to the messages stored for him in the messaging system (see column 17, lines 43 to 53).

Independent claim 14 contains all features of claim 19. In addition, it specifies an intelligent network element involved in obtaining the selected number from the subscriber and generating the corresponding addresses. Intelligent networks are well

International application No. PCT/US00/02619

known in the field of telecommunications. For example, document D1 which is regarded as the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 14 discloses such a system combined with a telephone network (see figure 4).

As a result, claim 14 cannot be regarded as involving an inventive step according to Article 33(3) PCT.

Independent claim 8 contains all features of claim 14 and further defines a service switching point (SSP) as one element of the intelligent network. Routing calls and receiving further instructions from other nodes of the intelligent network as described in claim 8 is generally known for SSPs in intelligent networks (see document D1, column 6, lines 42 to 66). Claim 8 does therefore not involve an inventive activity.

Claim 1 does not contain any additional technical features compared to claim 8. It merely further details the method of claim 8 in a way obvious to the skilled person. Therefore, an inventive step cannot be recognised.

Claim 22 corresponds to claim 1 in terms of system features and is therefore not considered to involve an inventive step for the same reasons given for claim 1.

Furthermore, dependent claims 2 to 7, 9 to 13, 15 to 18, 20, 21 and 23 to 28 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, involve an inventive step for the reason that the subject-matter of these claims is either directly derivable from the disclosure of document D1 (for claim 5 see column 6, lines 60 to 63, for claim 6 see column 6, lines 63 to 66) or represent simple design details which are generally known to the person skilled in the field of messaging systems.

#### Re Item VII

#### Certain defects in the international application

The clauses relating to "incorporation by reference" (pages 1, 12 and 14) may be objected to by the relevant bodies responsible for the national/regional phase on the basis of their particular requirements (as it would be the case e.g. of the EPO applying the principles of the EPC); it was hence recommended to delete these clauses.

**EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET** 

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.

#### Re Item VIII

#### Certain observations on the international application

Although claims 1, 8, 14 and 19 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness. Moreover, lack of clarity of the claims as a whole arises, since the plurality of independent claims makes it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, and places an undue burden on others seeking to establish the extent of the protection.

Hence, claims 1, 8, 14 and 19 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.

Furthermore, the application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT for the following reasons:

In order to clearly define the scope for which protection is sought the formulation used in claim 1 "In a messaging system (...) a method (...)" should have been changed into a more proper form e.g. "A method for use in a messaging system (...)".

In claim 5, "SMP" is undefined (it is not defined in the claims claim 5 depends on either) and should have been replaced by "selected messaging platform".

In claim 8, "SSP" is undefined an should have been replaced by "service switching point".

It is not clear which document is referred to on page 14, line 1, because the document number has been omitted.

The elements of the tables on pages 14 and 15 should have been explained because their meaning is not self-evident.



## **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		of Transmittal of International Search Report
197688	ACTION	220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/US 00/02619	02/02/2000	26/02/1999
Applicant		
BELLSOUTH INTELLECTUAL PRO	OPERTY CORPORALION et al.	
This is a Casab Banad has been	15 11 Secretional Convolution And	
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searching Aut ansmitted to the International Bureau.	hority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consists  It is also accompanied by	of a total of sheets.  a copy of each prior art document cited in this	s renort
	a copy of causings are acceptance.	пероп.
Basis of the report		
<ul> <li>With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, unl</li> </ul>	international search was carried out on the bar less otherwise indicated under this item.	sis of the international application in the
the international search w Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).	vas carried out on the basis of a translation of t	the international application furnished to this
b. With regard to any <b>nucleotide an</b>		nternational application, the international search
was carried out on the basis of the contained in the internatio	e sequence listing : onal application in written form.	•
	ernational application in computer readable for	m
	this Authority in written form.	11.
	this Authority in computer readble form.	1
the statement that the sub	osequently furnished written sequence listing d is filed has been furnished.	loes not go beyond the disclosure in the
the statement that the info		is identical to the written sequence listing has been
furnished	•	
	nd unsearchable (See Box I).	
3. Unity of Invention is lack	king (see Box II).	!
4. With regard to the <b>title,</b>		. 1
CY7	bmitted by the applicant.	
][	hed by this Authority to read as follows:	
<b></b>	•	
		•
5. With regard to the abstract,		
5. With regard to the <b>abstract</b> ,  the text is approved as sul	figure the analicant	
the text has been establish	hed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authorit	ity as it appears in Box III. The applicant may,
	e date of mailing of this international search reprished with the abstract is Figure No.	ort, submit comments to this Authority.
6. The figure of the <b>drawings</b> to be publi as suggested by the applic	,	None of the figures.
as suggested by the applic		None of the figures.
][	ed to suggest a figure. characterizes the invention.	
Decause this light better	Characterizes the invention.	

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
1PC 7 H04M3/533 H04M3/42

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

#### B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 HO4M

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, INSPEC, IBM-TDB, COMPENDEX

Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
EP 0 624 967 A (ROLM CO)	19-21
abstract	1,8,14, 22
page 3, line 30 -page 9, line 53	
US 5 680 442 A (FARRIS ROBERT D ET AL) 21 October 1997 (1997-10-21) abstract; figure 4 column 6, line 39 -column 8, line 59	1-28
US 5 838 768 A (SCHMERSEL ROBERT JOHANNES BERN ET AL) 17 November 1998 (1998-11-17) abstract column 5, line 45 - line 63	1,8,14, 19,22
<del></del>	
	EP 0 624 967 A (ROLM CO) 17 November 1994 (1994-11-17) abstract  page 3, line 30 -page 9, line 53  US 5 680 442 A (FARRIS ROBERT D ET AL) 21 October 1997 (1997-10-21) abstract; figure 4 column 6, line 39 -column 8, line 59  US 5 838 768 A (SCHMERSEL ROBERT JOHANNES BERN ET AL) 17 November 1998 (1998-11-17) abstract

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed in annex.
<ul> <li>Special categories of cited documents:</li> <li>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</li> <li>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</li> <li>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</li> <li>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</li> <li>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</li> <li>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</li> <li>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.</li> <li>"&amp;" document member of the same patent family</li> </ul>
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
12 July 2000	20/07/2000
Name and mailing address of the ISA  European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  NL – 2280 HV Riiswiik	Authorized officer .
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Willems, B

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internation on patent family members

national Application No PCT/US 00/02619

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		atent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0624967	Α	17-11-1994	US US	5675631 A 5764733 A	07-10-1997 09-06-1998
US 5680442	Α	21-10-1997	US US US	5631948 A 5661782 A 5812639 A	20-05-1997 26-08-1997 22-09-1998
US 5838768	Α	17-11-1998	AU AU CN EP WO	718548 B 3874397 A 1235735 A 0922364 A 9809422 A	13-04-2000 19-03-1998 17-11-1999 16-06-1999 05-03-1998